

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 ANTOLIN ANDREWS,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-01-1621 GEB GGH P

12 vs.

13 TONI HAFEY, et al.,

14 Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

15 _____/
16 By order filed June 13, 2006, defendants' December 13, 2005, motion to dismiss
17 was granted as to plaintiff's claims for money damages against defendants Lopez and Rodriguez,
18 to the extent that he intended such claims to challenge the parole hold and parole revocation
19 hearings in 1998, and those claims were dismissed with leave to amend within 30 days. The
20 thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise
21 responded to the court's order.

22 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed
23 without prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

24 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
25 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty
26 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written

1 objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s
2 Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
3 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
4 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: 8/17/06

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 andr1621.fta